Introduction

In an increasingly interconnected and technologically driven world, both language proficiency and scientific literacy have emerged as essential competencies for learners of all backgrounds. For students learning English as a second or additional language (ESL), mastering the language is often a prerequisite for accessing broader academic content and participating meaningfully in modern societies. At the same time, there is a growing recognition that English instruction does not need to occur in isolation from other subject areas. Rather, English learning can be enriched through interdisciplinary integration, particularly through STEM education, which encompasses science, technology, engineering, and mathematics.

Table of Contents

Integrating STEM into the ESL curriculum represents a pedagogical shift toward more dynamic and context-rich instruction. This approach builds on the principles of content-based instruction (CBI), which promotes language acquisition through engagement with meaningful, subject-specific content. Instead of treating language learning as a standalone objective, CBI and STEM integration emphasize learning language for authentic, academic, and communicative purposes. For example, a lesson that combines English reading and writing skills with an engineering design task encourages students to use the target language to solve real-world problems, ask questions, and collaborate with peers.

STEM education is characterized by inquiry, experimentation, analysis, and innovation—skills that align closely with the communicative, cognitive, and cooperative goals of effective ESL teaching. When learners are placed in environments that demand both content understanding and language use, they develop not only their proficiency in English but also transferable skills such as critical thinking, teamwork, and digital literacy. These competencies are central to 21st-century learning frameworks, which emphasize the importance of preparing students to navigate complex and evolving professional and social landscapes.

The integration of STEM into the ESL curriculum is particularly relevant in global contexts where English serves as a medium of instruction for science and technical education. In many international schools, bilingual programs, and higher education institutions, English learners are expected to comprehend and produce STEM-related content in English. Supporting these learners through intentional curriculum design and scaffolding can reduce barriers to success and increase engagement across disciplines.

Moreover, STEM-integrated ESL instruction can lead to increased motivation among learners. Real-world applications and hands-on activities typically found in STEM education help students see the relevance of English beyond traditional grammar and vocabulary exercises. For many learners, especially those with interests in science or technology, this relevance translates into deeper investment and participation in language learning.

This article aims to provide ESL educators with a comprehensive framework for integrating STEM education into their teaching practices. It will explore the rationale behind this approach, discuss effective pedagogical models, present strategies for lesson development, examine appropriate tools and resources, and address methods of assessment and professional growth. While grounded in academic theory, the article maintains an accessible and practical tone, ensuring its utility for both classroom practitioners and those new to the field.

By bridging the gap between content and language learning, integrating STEM into the ESL curriculum can transform English classrooms into vibrant, interdisciplinary spaces that promote both linguistic and intellectual growth.

The Rationale for Integrating STEM into ESL

The growing role of STEM in global education

STEM education has become a cornerstone of modern academic and economic systems. Around the world, educational institutions are placing increasing emphasis on science, technology, engineering, and mathematics as vital disciplines for national development and global competitiveness. Governments, NGOs, and industry leaders have collectively acknowledged the urgent need to prepare students for careers that require analytical reasoning, problem-solving, and technological fluency (Marginson et al., 2013). In parallel, English continues to serve as the primary medium of communication in many academic and professional STEM settings, particularly in international contexts.

The increasing demand for STEM skills intersects meaningfully with the global spread of English language learning. In many non-English-speaking countries, students are expected to engage with STEM content through English-medium instruction (EMI), creating a dual challenge: acquiring academic content while simultaneously developing proficiency in English. For ESL learners, this dual demand can be daunting if not properly scaffolded. However, when supported by thoughtful pedagogical strategies, it can also become a powerful vehicle for cognitive and linguistic growth.

STEM supports language learning through real-world, content-rich instructional contexts.

As STEM continues to gain prominence in national curricula and global academic benchmarks, it becomes essential for ESL educators to recognize the opportunities presented by this trend. Integrating STEM content into English language instruction aligns ESL classrooms with broader academic goals and prepares students for meaningful participation in future academic and professional environments (Bybee, 2010). It ensures that language instruction is not isolated from learners’ larger educational journeys, but rather, embedded within relevant and future-focused content areas.

STEM as a vehicle for language acquisition

STEM education naturally fosters a type of engagement that can be leveraged to promote language development. Activities in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics often involve problem-solving, hands-on experimentation, and peer collaboration—all of which require learners to communicate ideas, negotiate meaning, and engage in complex language functions. These authentic communication needs drive learners to use English not only to demonstrate knowledge, but also to inquire, reflect, and create.

This communicative aspect of STEM learning is particularly beneficial in an ESL context. When students participate in group investigations, conduct experiments, or present solutions to real-world problems, they are engaging in meaningful language use. Such tasks promote both academic language and social interaction, enabling learners to expand their vocabulary, master grammatical structures, and develop fluency in contextually relevant ways (Gibbons, 2015).

Additionally, the hands-on and visual nature of many STEM activities offers scaffolding that supports comprehension. Diagrams, models, simulations, and physical materials provide non-verbal cues that help ESL students make sense of complex concepts. These supports reduce the cognitive load of language processing and allow learners to focus on content while gradually internalizing the associated linguistic structures (Walqui & van Lier, 2010). Thus, STEM subjects offer more than just content—they provide structured opportunities for language development that are embedded in purposeful academic tasks.

Moreover, STEM learning encourages higher-order thinking, such as analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information. These skills correlate with advanced language functions that are essential for academic success. Integrating these tasks into ESL instruction gives students regular practice in expressing abstract ideas, defending opinions, and formulating arguments—all within the framework of content-rich learning.

Content-based learning and ESL alignment

The integration of STEM into ESL teaching is closely aligned with content-based instruction (CBI), a widely respected approach to language education. CBI promotes the simultaneous development of language skills and subject-matter knowledge by using content as the context for learning the target language. In this framework, English is not taught as a separate subject, but as a medium through which students engage with meaningful and challenging academic material (Brinton, Snow, & Wesche, 2003).

CBI is grounded in the belief that language learning is most effective when it is relevant and cognitively engaging. STEM topics naturally meet these criteria. They pose real-world questions, require complex thinking, and stimulate curiosity. These features encourage sustained attention and deeper processing, both of which are essential for long-term language acquisition.

One of the primary strengths of CBI in an ESL context is that it avoids oversimplification of content. Too often, ESL instruction is reduced to grammar drills or surface-level vocabulary practice, which can feel disconnected from learners' academic and personal goals. CBI, especially when applied to STEM, presents learners with substantive material that challenges them intellectually while simultaneously supporting their language development. This dual focus enhances both motivation and learning outcomes (Peregoy & Boyle, 2017).

Furthermore, content-based instruction supports differentiated teaching. Teachers can design lessons that are accessible to a wide range of proficiency levels by modifying texts, adjusting tasks, and incorporating multimodal resources. For example, a lesson on ecosystems can be adapted to include visual aids, simplified readings, and structured writing tasks, ensuring that all students engage with the scientific content while developing their English skills.

Finally, CBI promotes the integration of the four language domains—listening, speaking, reading, and writing—within each lesson. A STEM-focused ESL unit might include listening to a video about a scientific process, discussing a hypothesis in pairs, reading a short article on the topic, and writing a lab report. This integrated approach mirrors real-world communication and enhances learners' ability to transfer their language skills across contexts.

In summary, STEM subjects offer compelling, content-rich contexts for English language learning. They naturally align with the principles of content-based instruction, promote academic language development, and provide authentic opportunities for meaningful communication. As global education systems increasingly emphasize STEM competencies, ESL educators are well-positioned to respond by integrating these subjects into their language teaching, ultimately supporting learners in becoming both proficient language users and capable thinkers in a globalized world.

Pedagogical Approaches and Frameworks

The integration of STEM content into ESL instruction requires thoughtful pedagogical planning. English learners benefit most from instructional methods that actively engage them in meaningful communication while allowing them to acquire both language and content knowledge. Among the most effective approaches for this purpose are task-based language teaching (TBLT), project-based learning (PBL), and inquiry-based instruction. These methods support authentic language use and critical thinking while promoting learner autonomy. Additionally, the use of scaffolding strategies tailored to varying levels of English proficiency ensures that all students can participate and succeed.

Task-based learning in STEM-integrated ESL

Task-based language teaching (TBLT) focuses on the use of authentic language through the completion of meaningful tasks. In TBLT, language is acquired as learners engage in problem-solving activities that resemble real-world tasks, often without explicit instruction in grammatical rules. The method aligns well with STEM content, which naturally involves solving problems, testing hypotheses, and analyzing results.

In a STEM-integrated ESL context, tasks might include designing a simple machine, conducting a basic chemistry experiment, or creating a prototype using engineering principles. These tasks prompt students to use English to describe processes, negotiate roles, report findings, and reflect on outcomes. The emphasis is on the completion of the task, with language serving as the vehicle for achieving communicative and academic goals (Ellis, 2003).

Research indicates that TBLT enhances language acquisition, especially when tasks are designed to reflect real-life use and when learners are provided with opportunities to interact and collaborate (Willis & Willis, 2007). For example, during a group activity to build a model bridge, students may need to agree on materials, describe measurements, and present their designs to the class. These interactions promote vocabulary acquisition, fluency, and the use of specific grammatical structures relevant to STEM contexts.

Importantly, TBLT supports the integration of content and language objectives. It allows educators to embed academic vocabulary, sentence structures, and discourse patterns into authentic learning experiences. When carefully planned, these tasks foster both subject-area understanding and language development in tandem.

Student-centered frameworks connect STEM learning with authentic English language use.

Project-based learning and inquiry-based instruction

Project-based learning (PBL) is another powerful pedagogical model that aligns closely with STEM education and language learning. In PBL, students engage in extended projects that require investigation, collaboration, and the presentation of findings. These projects are often driven by open-ended questions or real-world problems, which encourage critical thinking and sustained engagement.

In an ESL setting, STEM-themed projects might include designing an environmentally friendly building, exploring renewable energy options in the local area, or developing a solution to reduce water waste in schools. These projects require students to research, plan, construct models or simulations, and communicate their results in both oral and written formats. Language becomes a tool for inquiry, collaboration, and dissemination of information.

According to Thomas (2000), PBL fosters deeper understanding and long-term retention of both content and language. It helps learners to internalize academic vocabulary and to use complex language structures as they complete presentations, write reports, and engage in reflective discussions. The extended timeline of projects allows teachers to observe growth over time and to provide ongoing feedback and support.

Inquiry-based instruction is closely related to PBL and focuses on student-led exploration guided by curiosity and critical questioning. In this model, students generate questions, form hypotheses, conduct investigations, and draw conclusions. For English learners, this process provides numerous opportunities for speaking, listening, reading, and writing in meaningful contexts. Inquiry-based learning encourages metacognition and language awareness as students reflect on their thought processes and linguistic choices (Hmelo-Silver et al., 2007).

Both PBL and inquiry-based learning share key characteristics: they are student-centered, require active learning, and promote real-world application of knowledge. For ESL teachers, the integration of these models with STEM content allows for language development that is both contextual and purposeful.

Scaffolding strategies for English learners

While task-based, project-based, and inquiry-based learning offer robust frameworks, their success with ESL learners depends on effective scaffolding. Scaffolding refers to the temporary support provided to learners as they perform tasks that would otherwise be beyond their current ability. It helps bridge the gap between what students can do independently and what they can achieve with guidance.

Effective scaffolding in STEM-integrated ESL instruction involves several key strategies:

1. Language modeling and sentence frames:
Teachers can support academic discourse by providing students with model sentences, question starters, and functional language. For example, when comparing results in a science experiment, students might use frames like “Our hypothesis was correct because…” or “We observed that…”

2. Visual aids and graphic organizers:
Diagrams, flowcharts, timelines, and labeled visuals help students grasp abstract STEM concepts and organize their thinking. These tools reduce the linguistic demand of the content and enable learners to focus on understanding relationships and processes (Echevarria, Vogt, & Short, 2017).

3. Structured interaction:
Group work and pair tasks should be structured to ensure that all students participate. Roles such as “recorder,” “reporter,” or “materials manager” can help distribute responsibilities while ensuring that each learner engages with the language and the content.

4. Gradual release of responsibility:
Initially, tasks may be modeled and guided by the teacher. Over time, responsibility shifts to the students as they become more confident. This model supports learner independence while still ensuring academic rigor.

5. Differentiated materials:
Teachers can offer tiered readings, modified assignments, or bilingual glossaries to accommodate varying levels of language proficiency. These supports ensure that all students have access to both STEM content and the language needed to engage with it meaningfully.

Ultimately, scaffolding must be intentional and responsive. It should be based on careful observation of learners’ needs and adapted as students gain proficiency. The goal is to gradually remove supports as learners gain the skills necessary to succeed independently in both STEM and English language tasks.

Task-based learning, project-based instruction, and inquiry-driven pedagogies are well-suited to the integration of STEM content in the ESL classroom. These methods promote authentic language use, active engagement, and cognitive challenge. When coupled with thoughtful scaffolding, they provide all students with the opportunity to access rigorous content while developing their English language skills. For educators, adopting these strategies represents a shift toward more purposeful, relevant, and empowering instruction that aligns with both academic and linguistic goals.

Developing STEM-Infused ESL Lessons and Units

Effective integration of STEM content into ESL instruction begins with thoughtful lesson and unit planning. The goal is to design learning experiences that balance both linguistic development and conceptual understanding. English learners thrive when they are presented with tasks that are not only intellectually stimulating but also linguistically accessible. This section outlines a practical framework for creating STEM-infused ESL lessons by addressing theme selection, content and vocabulary planning, and the formulation of clear language objectives that complement STEM learning goals.

Designing lessons using STEM themes

One of the foundational steps in STEM-ESL integration is the identification of relevant themes that are both age-appropriate and cognitively engaging. A STEM theme refers to a central idea or problem around which learning activities are organized. These themes should offer opportunities for hands-on exploration, inquiry, and the application of both scientific and linguistic knowledge.

Effective themes for STEM-infused ESL lessons include topics such as weather systems, energy sources, robotics, simple machines, and environmental sustainability. These topics are adaptable across proficiency levels and can be linked to students' real-life experiences, thereby increasing motivation and engagement (Capraro & Slough, 2013). For instance, a unit on renewable energy can incorporate reading informational texts, interpreting diagrams, discussing pros and cons of energy sources, and presenting findings through posters or digital slideshows.

When selecting a theme, educators should consider several factors:

- The relevance of the topic to learners' educational or cultural contexts
- The linguistic load of the associated materials
- The availability of hands-on or visual resources
- The potential for cross-disciplinary connections

Using overarching themes encourages long-term engagement with both language and content, allowing learners to build background knowledge and deepen their understanding over time. Units can span multiple weeks and incorporate varied activities targeting all four language domains: listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

STEM-ESL lessons combine academic content, clear objectives, and scaffolded support.

Selecting appropriate content and vocabulary

The selection of STEM content must be carefully aligned with students' language proficiency levels. Overly complex texts or abstract concepts may lead to cognitive overload, especially when learners are still developing foundational language skills. However, this does not mean simplifying content to the point of reducing its academic rigor. Instead, teachers should focus on modifying the language of instruction and supporting access to content through scaffolding.

One approach to balancing complexity is the use of tiered content. Tiered content involves providing multiple entry points into the same topic. For example, students working on a lesson about ecosystems could be provided with different texts based on their reading level. While one group might read a simplified article with visuals and glossaries, another group could work with a more detailed scientific text. Both groups participate in shared discussions and tasks, allowing for equitable access to key concepts (Zwiers, 2014).

In parallel, vocabulary selection is a crucial element of STEM-ESL planning. Academic vocabulary can be classified into three tiers:

- **Tier 1**: Basic words used in everyday conversation (e.g., water, tree, sun)
- **Tier 2**: High-frequency words used across content areas (e.g., analyze, observe, compare)
- **Tier 3**: Domain-specific words relevant to particular subjects (e.g., photosynthesis, hypothesis, circuit)

Teachers should prioritize Tier 2 and Tier 3 vocabulary during lesson planning, providing explicit instruction and repeated exposure through varied contexts. Graphic organizers, word walls, and vocabulary journals can help learners internalize these terms and apply them in speaking and writing (Beck, McKeown, & Kucan, 2013). Additionally, the use of visual supports such as labeled diagrams or realia enhances comprehension and retention.

It is also important to embed vocabulary instruction within the context of meaningful tasks. Instead of isolated word lists, students should encounter new terms during experiments, collaborative tasks, and presentations. This contextualized approach promotes deeper understanding and practical use.

Language objectives aligned with STEM content

In STEM-integrated ESL lessons, it is essential to develop clear language objectives that are parallel to the content goals. Language objectives specify what students will do with language to achieve the lesson's academic aims. These objectives should be visible to students and should inform instruction, assessment, and feedback.

A well-constructed language objective includes the function (e.g., describe, explain, justify), the form (e.g., sentence structures, vocabulary), and the domain (listening, speaking, reading, or writing). For example, in a lesson about simple machines, the content objective might be: "Students will identify and categorize six types of simple machines." A corresponding language objective could be: "Students will use comparative sentences to explain how different simple machines make work easier."

Integrating language and content objectives helps students understand that language is not learned separately from academic inquiry but is an essential tool for communicating and demonstrating understanding. Language objectives also serve to focus instruction and ensure that ESL students receive targeted support to participate fully in STEM activities (Echevarria, Short, & Vogt, 2017).

Language objectives can be supported by sentence frames and model texts. These tools help students construct responses with academic precision. For instance, in a lesson on forces and motion, sentence starters such as "The object moved because…" or "The greater the force, the…" provide students with scaffolds to express complex ideas.

Additionally, formative assessments should be aligned with both content and language objectives. Teachers can assess language growth through oral discussions, science notebooks, written reflections, and student presentations. Rubrics can include criteria for content accuracy and language use, helping students recognize the importance of both.

Cross-disciplinary and multimodal learning

STEM-ESL lessons benefit significantly from cross-disciplinary integration and the use of multimodal resources. Cross-disciplinary learning involves connecting concepts from different subject areas to enrich understanding. For instance, a lesson on climate change may involve reading nonfiction texts (language arts), interpreting graphs (math), and conducting simple experiments (science). These interdisciplinary connections not only build background knowledge but also reinforce vocabulary and concepts through repetition in varied contexts.

Multimodal learning refers to the use of multiple sensory modalities—visual, auditory, kinesthetic—to enhance comprehension. In ESL contexts, this approach is particularly effective because it allows learners to access information even when language remains a barrier. Digital simulations, video demonstrations, hands-on experiments, and collaborative discussions all contribute to a rich learning environment that supports content and language development simultaneously (Mayer, 2009).

Moreover, integrating technology in STEM-ESL lessons allows students to experiment with tools like data loggers, design software, and educational apps. These tools often include built-in supports such as subtitles, audio instructions, or visual cues that help English learners engage meaningfully with content while practicing target language forms.

Planning considerations for ESL-STEM educators

When planning STEM-infused ESL lessons or units, educators should consider the following sequence:

1. **Identify an engaging STEM theme** appropriate to the learners' age and context.
2. **Determine content objectives** aligned with science, technology, engineering, or math standards.
3. **Establish language objectives** linked to the four language skills and relevant academic functions.
4. **Select or adapt materials** to ensure accessibility, including multimodal texts and tools.
5. **Incorporate scaffolding techniques** such as visuals, sentence frames, structured group roles, and tiered tasks.
6. **Design assessments** that evaluate both content understanding and language use.

This comprehensive approach ensures that lessons are purposeful, aligned with curricular goals, and responsive to student needs. It supports the long-term academic success of English learners by fostering both linguistic competence and subject mastery.

Language Skill Development through STEM Activities

Integrating STEM activities into ESL instruction offers a powerful means of fostering all four core language skills: listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Because STEM content naturally involves inquiry, analysis, and communication, it creates authentic situations where English learners are required to use language purposefully. These contexts go beyond controlled grammar exercises or isolated vocabulary drills, instead promoting real-world use of language that supports both fluency and accuracy. Through collaborative problem-solving, experimentation, and critical analysis, students engage deeply with both academic content and communicative tasks.

Promoting speaking and listening in scientific inquiry

STEM tasks often involve group-based learning environments that require students to explain procedures, justify choices, and evaluate results together. This emphasis on collaboration promotes extensive oral communication, giving learners regular opportunities to practice speaking and listening in meaningful contexts.

Scientific inquiry, in particular, provides a rich platform for spoken interaction. During experiments or engineering challenges, students must work together to form hypotheses, discuss observations, interpret outcomes, and reflect on their process. These exchanges involve specific academic functions, including describing, hypothesizing, clarifying, and concluding—each of which requires structured language use (Mercer, Dawes, Wegerif, & Sams, 2004).

To support this development, teachers can incorporate structured oral tasks such as:

- Think-pair-share discussions
- Small group debates on design choices or hypotheses
- Oral presentations on experiment results
- Peer feedback sessions using sentence starters and academic prompts

These activities foster listening comprehension and oral fluency, especially when learners are guided with scaffolds such as visual aids, question frames, and group roles. For example, in a STEM design task, assigning roles like "explainer," "recorder," or "question-asker" ensures each student contributes to the discussion using appropriate academic language.

Research supports the use of cooperative learning structures to promote language development in STEM-rich contexts. Interaction among peers in inquiry-driven activities enhances learners' ability to use language for reasoning and problem-solving (Gillies, 2016). Furthermore, by listening to others explain their thinking, students develop a deeper understanding of both language and content.

STEM tasks develop all language skills through inquiry and communication.

Enhancing reading and writing through STEM texts

STEM-related tasks provide a natural context for reading and writing, as students must access and produce texts that include procedural steps, data interpretation, diagrams, informational passages, and analytical writing. These genres expose students to the features of academic language and support their development as critical readers and precise writers.

In STEM-focused ESL classrooms, reading materials should be multimodal and purposeful. Examples include:

- Infographics and diagrams accompanying scientific texts
- Step-by-step lab procedures
- Articles about current technological innovations
- Graphs and charts requiring interpretation
- Code annotations in beginner-level programming activities

These text types offer scaffolds for understanding while also challenging students to engage with complex information. Teachers can support comprehension by pre-teaching key vocabulary, using graphic organizers, and incorporating comprehension questions that promote deeper analysis (Fang & Schleppegrell, 2010).

Writing tasks can be closely tied to STEM inquiry and experimentation. Students might write lab reports, keep science journals, construct explanations, or design posters summarizing project outcomes. These writing assignments not only develop composition skills but also reinforce content understanding.

An important aspect of writing in STEM-integrated ESL classrooms is the emphasis on specific language functions. Students must learn to describe phenomena, compare findings, sequence procedures, and justify conclusions—all using precise, content-specific vocabulary and structures. Sentence starters and modeled texts can guide learners in constructing effective responses. For example:

- “Based on the data, we can conclude that…”
- “The results showed a pattern of…”
- “We predicted that __ because…”

These sentence frames help learners express complex ideas clearly and coherently. Writing in these structured yet authentic contexts promotes accuracy and fluency, especially when feedback is focused on both content and language use (Derewianka & Jones, 2016).

Using STEM for critical thinking and communication skills

One of the greatest strengths of STEM activities is their potential to cultivate critical thinking alongside communicative competence. STEM education emphasizes inquiry, evaluation of evidence, problem-solving, and innovation—all of which require learners to use language for reasoning and reflection.

For English learners, these tasks offer meaningful opportunities to engage in extended discourse, practice meta-cognitive strategies, and develop both receptive and productive skills. Problem-solving tasks, such as designing a bridge or developing a recycling plan for the school, require sustained engagement and discussion. Students must analyze information, propose solutions, and justify their decisions using academic and content-specific language.

Teachers can integrate activities that explicitly promote these skills, such as:

- Argument construction (e.g., "Which material is best for building a water filter and why?")
- Evidence-based conclusions drawn from experiments or surveys
- Error analysis in mathematical or coding tasks
- Collaborative design challenges require trade-offs and decision-making

In each of these contexts, communication is not incidental but central to the task. Students must make their thinking visible through language, negotiate meaning with peers, and present their findings to authentic audiences. These experiences develop confidence and fluency while reinforcing academic discourse patterns.

Communication in STEM tasks is also multimodal. Visual representations such as models, prototypes, graphs, or simulations often accompany spoken or written explanations. This combination of modalities supports language development by providing context and reducing cognitive load (Prain & Tytler, 2012). It also reflects real-world scientific practice, where professionals must communicate findings through a combination of written reports, visual data, and oral presentations.

Importantly, critical thinking tasks in STEM are iterative. Students often revisit ideas, revise conclusions, and adjust designs based on new information. This process-oriented learning encourages reflection and reinforces language use for planning, revising, and evaluating.

STEM activities create rich, authentic environments in which English learners can develop all four language skills in tandem with academic content. Speaking and listening are fostered through collaborative inquiry and structured dialogue. Reading and writing are enhanced through engagement with scientific texts, procedural documents, and analytical writing tasks. Perhaps most significantly, STEM tasks promote the use of language for critical thinking, enabling learners to reason, explain, and communicate their understanding effectively.

By integrating language instruction with real-world problem-solving, STEM-ESL educators prepare students not only for academic achievement but also for active participation in scientific and technological discourse. With careful scaffolding and purposeful planning, these activities can support both linguistic growth and intellectual development.

Tools and Digital Resources for STEM-ESL Integration

Technology plays a central role in bridging content and language instruction, particularly in classrooms where students are learning English while engaging with complex STEM concepts. The integration of digital tools into STEM-ESL teaching not only enhances accessibility but also fosters engagement, collaboration, and independent learning. Teachers now have access to a wide range of educational technologies that support multimodal instruction, language development, and real-time interaction. This section outlines effective digital platforms, open educational resources, and virtual learning environments that can be leveraged to strengthen STEM-ESL instruction.

Useful apps and platforms for STEM-based language learning

There are a growing number of educational apps and platforms designed to promote both STEM learning and language practice. These tools offer interactive and adaptive features that can support English learners through visual cues, scaffolded instruction, and embedded language support.

1. Padlet
Padlet is a virtual bulletin board that allows students to post responses, images, videos, and audio recordings. In STEM-ESL settings, Padlet can be used for brainstorming hypotheses, documenting experiment steps, or summarizing scientific readings. It supports multimodal communication and fosters collaboration while allowing teachers to monitor student participation and language use (Boulton, 2020). Students at varying proficiency levels can contribute at their own pace, using images or sentence starters to support their expression.

2. Flip (formerly Flipgrid)
Flip is a video discussion platform that enables students to record short responses to teacher prompts. For ESL learners, it provides a low-pressure environment to practice speaking, reflect on STEM concepts, and present their findings. Teachers can model responses, provide sentence frames, and offer targeted feedback to support academic language development (Green & Green, 2018). Flip is particularly effective for speaking tasks that accompany project-based or inquiry-driven STEM units.

3. PhET Interactive Simulations
Developed by the University of Colorado Boulder, PhET provides free, browser-based simulations in science and mathematics. These interactive tools allow students to explore concepts such as gravity, electricity, and chemical reactions. Simulations are visually rich and often come with multilingual support, making them ideal for ESL students who benefit from visual representations of abstract ideas (Moore et al., 2014). Teachers can guide students to describe their observations using academic vocabulary and structured sentence frames.

4. Quizlet
Quizlet supports vocabulary development through flashcards, games, and quizzes. In a STEM-ESL context, teachers can create vocabulary sets for topics such as the water cycle, ecosystems, or force and motion. Audio support, images, and customizable quizzes allow for repetition and reinforcement, especially when integrated into broader content-based lessons (Wang, 2016).

These platforms are widely accessible and can be used both in classroom settings and at home, providing continuity of learning and additional language exposure outside school hours.

Digital tools enhance STEM-ESL learning with interactive, multimodal language practice.

Open educational resources (OER) for ESL teachers

Open educational resources (OER) are free, publicly available materials that can be used, adapted, and redistributed for teaching and learning. OER repositories offer a wealth of content that supports STEM-ESL integration, including lesson plans, worksheets, videos, and assessments designed with English learners in mind.

1. OER Commons
OER Commons (www.oercommons.org) hosts a wide array of instructional materials across subject areas. Teachers can filter resources by grade level, subject, and language level. Many of the science and math lessons available on the platform include built-in supports for English learners, such as simplified texts, bilingual glossaries, and scaffolded questions. Educators can also collaborate and share adaptations, contributing to a growing body of practitioner-developed content (OER Commons, 2024).

2. CK-12 Foundation
CK-12 (www.ck12.org) offers interactive STEM textbooks that are highly adaptable and accessible. Its content includes science simulations, math problem sets, and reading passages tailored for different learning levels. ESL teachers can integrate CK-12 materials into lessons with ease, using features such as embedded multimedia and comprehension checks to support learners' understanding of both content and language.

3. TeachEngineering
TeachEngineering (www.teachengineering.org) is a digital library of STEM curriculum materials developed by engineering faculty and teachers. Lessons align with U.S. Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS) and include background information, vocabulary lists, and step-by-step activity

guides. While not all materials are explicitly designed for ESL learners, many include adaptable language supports that allow teachers to scaffold instruction for various proficiency levels (TeachEngineering, 2024).

These OER platforms allow teachers to curate and adapt content that is both academically rigorous and linguistically accessible, promoting the design of integrated and responsive STEM-ESL instruction.

Using virtual labs, simulations, and online STEM content

Virtual labs and simulations provide opportunities for English learners to engage in STEM practices in a low-risk, repeatable environment. These tools are particularly valuable when physical resources are limited or when concepts are difficult to demonstrate through traditional means. For ESL students, visual representations and interactive interfaces can reduce the cognitive burden of dense texts and abstract explanations.

1. Gizmos by ExploreLearning
Gizmos (www.explorelearning.com) offers over 400 interactive math and science simulations. Students can manipulate variables, observe real-time outcomes, and test predictions. Teachers can assign pre-designed activities with embedded questions that guide language use. While the platform is not free, many schools provide access, and free trials are available for evaluation purposes.

2. NASA STEM Engagement
NASA's STEM Engagement site (www.nasa.gov/stem) features an array of educational materials, including videos, activities, and virtual field trips. These resources are often accompanied by glossaries, discussion questions, and visual aids. ESL students benefit from the multimedia format and the opportunity to explore real-world science through accessible content and inquiry-driven tasks (NASA, 2023).

3. Smithsonian Learning Lab
Smithsonian Learning Lab (learninglab.si.edu) allows teachers and students to access curated collections of images, videos, and articles related to STEM topics. Teachers can build custom learning experiences and include guiding questions or scaffolds that promote language development. These collections promote critical thinking and allow ESL students to explore topics visually and contextually.

Virtual labs and simulations are not only effective for content learning but also encourage the use of academic language. Students can be tasked with describing their observations, writing lab summaries, or discussing results with peers. The multimodal nature of these tools reinforces comprehension and provides ample opportunity for practice across language domains.

Digital tools and online resources provide powerful support for integrating STEM and language instruction in ESL settings. By selecting appropriate platforms, simulations, and open educational resources, teachers can enhance engagement, scaffold academic content, and foster meaningful language development. These tools create multimodal, interactive environments that reflect real-world scientific inquiry and provide learners with opportunities to communicate, collaborate, and build content knowledge in English. When used strategically, they transform the ESL classroom into a space where students can explore, experiment, and express their ideas with clarity and confidence.

Assessment Strategies for STEM-Integrated ESL Instruction

Effective assessment in a STEM-integrated ESL classroom requires more than testing content knowledge or discrete language skills in isolation. It involves evaluating how well students can use English to understand, apply, and communicate scientific, technological, engineering, and mathematical concepts. Assessment must be aligned with both content and language objectives, and it should provide a balanced view of students' progress across both domains. This section outlines practical strategies for formative and summative assessment, discusses approaches for evaluating language and content together, and emphasizes the role of rubrics and performance-based tasks in measuring authentic learning.

Formative and summative assessment techniques

Assessment in STEM-ESL integration should be ongoing and multifaceted. Both formative and summative methods are necessary to capture the complexity of students' learning.

Formative assessment refers to ongoing, informal evaluation that provides feedback to guide instruction and improve student learning. In a STEM-ESL context, formative assessment can include:

- Observations during group work
- Exit tickets with content and language questions
- Interactive questioning during experiments
- Concept mapping to demonstrate understanding
- Student reflections in science journals

These activities allow teachers to monitor comprehension and language use in real time. Importantly, formative assessments help identify gaps that can be addressed through scaffolding or targeted instruction (Heritage, 2010). For example, if students struggle to explain the cause-effect relationship in an experiment, the teacher might model academic sentence structures such as "When we added __, the reaction occurred because…"

Summative assessment takes place at the end of a unit or project and is used to evaluate what students have learned. In STEM-ESL classrooms, summative assessments might include:

- Oral presentations of STEM projects
- Written lab reports or explanations
- Multimedia slideshows or models with spoken or written annotations
- Unit tests that include visual supports and vocabulary glossaries

Summative assessments should reflect the integrated nature of instruction by measuring both subject matter knowledge and language proficiency. The key is to ensure that assessments are fair and accessible to English learners without reducing the academic rigor of STEM tasks.

Assessment measures content knowledge and language growth through authentic performance tasks.

Assessing both content understanding and language development

One of the primary challenges in STEM-ESL integration is designing assessments that honor both the learners' content knowledge and their language development. A student may understand a scientific concept but struggle to express it clearly in English. Conversely, a well-written response may not demonstrate accurate scientific reasoning. Therefore, assessments must be structured to evaluate both dimensions clearly and equitably.

Dual-focused assessment involves creating tasks that elicit both content and language performance. For example, in a lesson on renewable energy, students might be asked to compare solar and wind power in a short essay. The assessment criteria would include the accuracy of content (e.g., benefits, limitations, examples) and the effective use of comparative language structures (e.g., "Solar power generates energy more consistently than wind power…").

According to Gottlieb (2016), assessment in ESL contexts should include both content targets and language targets, with explicit criteria for each. Teachers must design tasks that make language expectations visible and provide opportunities for learners to demonstrate their thinking in multiple ways.

To ensure fairness, it is helpful to provide:

- Word banks or sentence starters
- Visual aids or data charts for interpretation
- Opportunities to explain orally if written expression is limited
- Extended time or peer support when appropriate

By offering these supports, teachers make the assessment more accessible without compromising academic integrity. This allows learners to demonstrate their understanding using the language resources they have developed.

Rubrics and performance-based assessments

Rubrics are essential tools in STEM-ESL assessment because they clarify expectations and provide structured feedback on both language and content. A well-designed rubric separates criteria into distinct components, allowing teachers to assess students' conceptual understanding and linguistic accuracy independently.

Content criteria might include:

- Accuracy of scientific or mathematical information
- Completeness of explanation or reasoning
- Evidence of problem-solving or application

Language criteria could include:

- Use of subject-specific vocabulary
- Sentence structure and grammatical accuracy
- Clarity and organization of ideas
- Use of language functions (e.g., describing, comparing, hypothesizing)

For example, a rubric for a group engineering presentation might allocate points for the technical design (e.g., effectiveness of the model), explanation of scientific principles, and use of academic language during the oral report. This separation ensures that students are recognized for their conceptual work even if their language production is still developing.

Performance-based assessments are particularly effective in STEM-ESL settings because they reflect real-world tasks and involve application of knowledge. Examples include:

- Designing and testing a model (e.g., water filter, bridge, or solar oven)
- Conducting an experiment and presenting findings
- Creating an infographic or video to explain a STEM concept
- Participating in a problem-solving challenge and documenting the process

These assessments allow for multiple modalities—speaking, writing, drawing, modeling—which gives English learners multiple avenues to express their understanding. Furthermore, performance tasks support the development of 21st-century skills such as collaboration, communication, and critical thinking (Soland, Hamilton, & Stecher, 2013).

Portfolios can also be used as cumulative performance assessments. A portfolio might include drafts of written work, audio recordings, diagrams, peer feedback, and teacher evaluations. This collection of artifacts provides a more comprehensive view of student growth and supports reflective practice.

Peer and self-assessment can be integrated into performance tasks to build metacognitive awareness. When students use rubrics to evaluate their own or others' work, they deepen their understanding of the criteria and internalize academic language structures.

Assessment in STEM-integrated ESL instruction must reflect the complexity of learning that occurs at the intersection of content and language. Formative and summative assessments provide complementary insights into student progress. Dual-focused tasks and rubrics ensure that both conceptual understanding and linguistic development are honored. Performance-based assessments create authentic opportunities for students to apply their learning, while also promoting engagement and real-world relevance. When thoughtfully designed and scaffolded, assessment becomes a meaningful tool for supporting and documenting the progress of English learners in STEM classrooms.

Professional Development and Collaborative Planning

For educators to effectively integrate STEM content into ESL instruction, targeted professional development and structured collaboration are essential. English language teachers must become confident not only in language pedagogy but also in delivering and supporting STEM content in a linguistically responsive manner. At the same time, content-area teachers can benefit from understanding second language acquisition principles and the instructional strategies that support English learners. This section explores how educators can build their professional capacity, collaborate with colleagues across disciplines, and engage with ongoing training and global teaching networks to enhance STEM-ESL integration.

Building teacher capacity in STEM and ESL integration

The integration of STEM and ESL instruction requires specialized knowledge that extends beyond traditional training in either field. Teachers need to understand how to deliver rigorous STEM content while also supporting the language development of students who are still acquiring English. Professional development that addresses this dual focus is crucial.

Effective professional development in this area includes the following characteristics:

- Content-specific training that connects academic standards in science, mathematics, and engineering with second language acquisition strategies
- Practical modeling of strategies such as scaffolding, academic language instruction, and multimodal support
- Opportunities for teachers to plan and reflect collaboratively
- Ongoing support and coaching over time rather than one-time workshops

Programs like the SIOP Model (Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol) offer structured frameworks that guide teachers in delivering content in accessible ways while embedding language objectives (Echevarria, Vogt, & Short, 2017). Training in this model equips teachers to modify instruction without diluting content and to differentiate tasks based on language proficiency levels.

Further, professional learning should include opportunities for teachers to engage with real STEM tasks themselves. When educators experience inquiry-based projects, engineering design challenges, or data analysis activities firsthand, they are better prepared to anticipate linguistic demands and provide necessary scaffolds for students (Banilower et al., 2013).

Teachers may also pursue micro-credentials or certificates in content-based ESL instruction or STEM integration. Online platforms such as Coursera, Edmodo, and FutureLearn offer short courses that introduce core concepts of interdisciplinary teaching. These programs allow flexible, self-paced learning while connecting teachers to global professional communities.

Teacher collaboration and training strengthen effective STEM-ESL curriculum integration.

Collaborative teaching models

Collaboration between ESL teachers and content-area specialists is a powerful way to enhance STEM-ESL instruction. In many schools, ESL educators and science or math teachers work in isolation, leading to fragmented support for English learners. A more effective model is co-teaching, where educators from different disciplines jointly plan, instruct, and assess student learning.

Several co-teaching models can be adapted for STEM-ESL integration:

- **Team teaching**: Both teachers share instruction equally and contribute their expertise throughout the lesson.
- **Station teaching**: Students rotate through different activities or stations, each led by a teacher focusing on specific skills.
- **One teach, one assist**: One teacher leads the lesson while the other supports students individually or in small groups.
- **Alternative teaching**: One teacher works with a larger group while the other provides targeted support to students who need additional help.

Research indicates that co-teaching can improve student outcomes when it is based on mutual respect, joint planning, and shared responsibilities (Honigsfeld & Dove, 2019). In a STEM-ESL classroom, the ESL teacher might focus on scaffolding language and supporting academic vocabulary development, while the content teacher ensures conceptual accuracy and facilitates inquiry. Together, they can develop tasks that are linguistically appropriate and scientifically rigorous.

Collaborative planning is also key. Planning meetings should include the development of shared goals, discussion of students' language needs, and the integration of strategies that support both content understanding and language growth. This process fosters professional learning and leads to more coherent and responsive instruction.

Accessing training and support networks

Access to ongoing support and professional networks can help teachers stay informed, motivated, and equipped to implement integrated STEM-ESL strategies. Numerous organizations and online communities provide resources, training opportunities, and platforms for collaboration.

1. TESOL International Association
TESOL provides a range of professional development resources, including webinars, conferences, and online courses that explore content-based instruction and interdisciplinary teaching. Their publications also offer research-based guidance on integrating academic language with STEM content. Educators can access networks of professionals working in similar contexts, share strategies, and ask for feedback (TESOL International Association, 2024).

2. National Science Teaching Association (NSTA)
NSTA offers workshops, digital resources, and publications focused on inquiry-based science instruction. While not ESL-specific, many of the strategies they promote—such as hands-on learning, modeling, and formative assessment—are highly effective for English learners. Collaborating with NSTA-trained content teachers can also strengthen interdisciplinary planning (NSTA, 2024).

3. WIDA Consortium
WIDA offers tools, professional learning modules, and educator communities focused on the integration of language development and content-area instruction. Their resources help teachers align instruction with academic language standards and create learning environments that are both accessible and academically demanding (WIDA, 2020).

4. Online teaching communities
Platforms such as Edutopia, Share My Lesson, and Twitter education chats (#ELLchat, #STEMed) provide informal but valuable spaces for teachers to share lesson ideas, ask questions, and collaborate on interdisciplinary initiatives. These networks are particularly useful for teachers working in under-resourced settings who may not have access to formal training programs.

In addition to external networks, schools can establish internal learning communities focused on STEM-ESL integration. Professional learning communities (PLCs) bring together teachers across disciplines to analyze student data, reflect on instructional practices, and develop cross-curricular units. Regular collaboration within PLCs can result in improved instruction and more consistent support for English learners (DuFour & Eaker, 2009).

Preparing educators for STEM-ESL integration involves more than acquiring content knowledge or language pedagogy in isolation. It requires continuous professional learning, meaningful collaboration across subject areas, and access to support networks that encourage reflection and innovation. Whether through co-teaching, targeted professional development, or global networks, teachers can build the knowledge and skills necessary to support their students’ language development and academic achievement. By investing in their own growth, educators ensure that their instruction remains responsive, rigorous, and relevant to the challenges and opportunities of 21st-century learning.

Conclusion

Integrating STEM education into the ESL curriculum presents a meaningful opportunity to redefine how language and content are taught in tandem. As this article has demonstrated, STEM subjects offer rich, relevant contexts that not only engage learners in problem-solving and inquiry but also serve as authentic environments for the development of academic language. English learners benefit when instruction moves beyond isolated grammar lessons and into real-world scenarios where communication, collaboration, and critical thinking are essential.

The rationale for combining STEM and ESL instruction lies in their shared emphasis on exploration, communication, and structured thinking. STEM topics introduce learners to globally relevant content, from scientific processes to technological innovations, while requiring them to use English in purposeful ways. Content-based instruction, task-based learning, project-based activities, and inquiry-driven models offer effective frameworks through which teachers can deliver rigorous content and language instruction simultaneously.

Throughout this article, several pedagogical strategies have been discussed to support educators in implementing this integrated approach. Planning STEM-infused lessons begins with identifying age-appropriate themes, selecting accessible content, and clearly defining both content and language objectives. Effective instruction is further supported by scaffolding strategies, multimodal resources, and digital tools that make abstract STEM concepts more comprehensible to English learners. Whether through interactive simulations, video-based platforms, or open educational resources, technology plays a key role in enhancing instruction and supporting language development.

Assessment in STEM-ESL classrooms must be thoughtfully constructed to evaluate both conceptual understanding and language proficiency. Formative and summative assessments, supported by performance-based tasks and clear rubrics, offer teachers the tools to provide feedback and track progress meaningfully. Assessment practices must recognize the linguistic challenges English learners face while upholding high academic expectations.

Professional development and collaboration remain critical to the success of this interdisciplinary approach. ESL teachers and content-area specialists must engage in continuous learning, share expertise, and co-construct lessons that serve the dual purposes of language acquisition and content mastery. Co-teaching models and professional learning communities can play a pivotal role in building school-wide capacity for integrated instruction. Moreover, global networks and digital platforms provide ongoing support and innovation for educators seeking to deepen their practice.

The integration of STEM into ESL instruction is not merely a pedagogical trend but a necessary evolution in education. It prepares students for academic success, equips them with essential 21st-century skills, and fosters a mindset of inquiry and communication. As educational systems strive to provide meaningful, future-ready instruction, STEM-ESL integration offers a path forward that is both practical and transformative.

In conclusion, educators are encouraged to explore and adopt STEM-integrated approaches within their ESL classrooms. With careful planning, collaboration, and commitment to student-centered instruction, it is possible to create learning environments where English learners not only develop proficiency in the language but also become confident participants in scientific and technological discourse. This dual achievement represents a significant step toward expanding access to high-quality education for learners in varied contexts around the world.

References

Banilower, E. R., Smith, P. S., Weiss, I. R., Malzahn, K. A., Campbell, K. M., & Weis, A. M. (2013). *Report of the 2012 National Survey of Science and Mathematics Education*. Horizon Research, Inc. https://www.horizon-research.com/2012nssme/

Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Kucan, L. (2013). *Bringing words to life: Robust vocabulary instruction* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press. https://www.guilford.com/books/Bringing-Words-to-Life/Isabel-Beck/Margaret-McKeown-Linda-Kucan/9781462508164

Boulton, H. (2020). Exploring the use of Padlet to support online learning in the English language classroom. *Teaching English with Technology*, 20(3), 89–105. http://www.tewtjournal.org/issues/past-issue-2020/issue-3/

Brinton, D. M., Snow, M. A., & Wesche, M. B. (2003). *Content-based second language instruction*. University of Michigan Press. https://doi.org/10.3998/mpub.8753

Bybee, R. W. (2010). Advancing STEM education: A 2020 vision. *Technology and Engineering Teacher*, 70(1), 30–35. https://www.jstor.org/stable/43697804

Capraro, R. M., & Slough, S. W. (2013). *Project-based learning: An integrated science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) approach* (2nd ed.). Sense Publishers. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-143-6

Derewianka, B., & Jones, P. (2016). *Teaching language in context* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press. https://global.oup.com/academic/product/teaching-language-in-context-9780190303686

DuFour, R., & Eaker, R. (2009). *Professional learning communities at work: Best practices for enhancing student achievement*. Solution Tree Press. https://www.solutiontree.com/products/plc-at-work.html

Echevarria, J., Short, D. J., & Vogt, M. E. (2017). *Making content comprehensible for English learners: The SIOP model* (5th ed.). Pearson. https://www.pearson.com/en-us/subject-catalog/p/making-content-comprehensible-for-english-learners-the-siop-model/P200000005068

Fang, Z., & Schleppegrell, M. J. (2010). Disciplinary literacies across content areas: Supporting secondary reading through functional language analysis. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 53(7), 587–597. https://doi.org/10.1598/JAAL.53.7.6

Gillies, R. M. (2016). Cooperative learning: Review of research and practice. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(3), 39–54. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ajte/vol41/iss3/4/

Gottlieb, M. (2016). *Assessing English language learners: Bridges from language proficiency to academic achievement* (2nd ed.). Corwin Press. https://us.corwin.com/en-us/nam/assessing-english-language-learners/book243021

Green, T., & Green, J. (2018). Using Flipgrid to amplify student voice in the online classroom. *TechTrends*, 62(6), 639–641. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-018-0310-9

Heritage, M. (2010). *Formative assessment: Making it happen in the classroom*. Corwin Press. https://us.corwin.com/en-us/nam/formative-assessment/book234491

Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (2007). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning: A response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark. *Educational Psychologist*, 42(2), 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520701263368

Honigsfeld, A., & Dove, M. G. (2019). *Co-teaching for English learners: A guide to collaborative planning, instruction, assessment, and reflection*. Corwin Press. https://us.corwin.com/en-us/nam/co-teaching-for-english-learners/book259817

Marginson, S., Tytler, R., Freeman, B., & Roberts, K. (2013). *STEM: Country comparisons – International comparisons of science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) education*. Australian Council of Learned Academies. https://acola.org/stem-country-comparisons/

Mayer, R. E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511811678

Mercer, N., Dawes, L., Wegerif, R., & Sams, C. (2004). Reasoning as a scientist: Ways of helping children to use language to learn science. *British Educational Research Journal*, 30(3), 359–377. https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920410001689689

Moore, E. B., Chamberlain, J. M., Parson, R., & Perkins, K. K. (2014). PhET simulations: Supporting STEM education and communication across boundaries. *International Journal of Science Education, Part B*, 4(3), 226–241. https://doi.org/10.1080/21548455.2013.829659

NASA. (2023). *STEM engagement – Learning resources*. National Aeronautics and Space Administration. https://www.nasa.gov/stem

NSTA. (2024). *Professional learning for science educators*. National Science Teaching Association. https://www.nsta.org/professional-learning

OER Commons. (2024). *Explore open educational resources*. https://www.oercommons.org

Peregoy, S. F., & Boyle, O. F. (2017). *Reading, writing, and learning in ESL: A resource book for teaching K-12 English learners* (7th ed.). Pearson. https://www.pearson.com/store/p/reading-writing-and-learning-in-esl-a-resource-book-for-teaching-k-12-english-learners/P100002649423

Prain, V., & Tytler, R. (2012). Learning through representation: Using representational construction affordances to build understanding in science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(17), 2751–2773. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2011.626462

Soland, J., Hamilton, L. S., & Stecher, B. M. (2013). *Measuring 21st century competencies: Guidance for educators*. RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR232.html

Stiggins, R. J. (2017). *The perfect assessment system*. ASCD. https://www.ascd.org/books/the-perfect-assessment-system?variant=117003

TeachEngineering. (2024). *STEM curriculum for K-12 educators*. https://www.teachengineering.org

TESOL International Association. (2024). *Professional learning*. https://www.tesol.org

Thomas, J. W. (2000). *A review of research on project-based learning*. The Autodesk Foundation. https://my.pblworks.org/resource/document/review-research-project-based-learning

Walqui, A., & van Lier, L. (2010). *Scaffolding the academic success of adolescent English language learners: A pedagogy of promise*. WestEd. https://www.wested.org/resources/scaffolding-academic-success-ells/

Wang, A. I. (2016). The wear out effect of a game-based student response system. *Computers & Education*, 82, 217–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.11.004

WIDA Consortium. (2020). *WIDA English language development standards framework, 2020 edition: Kindergarten–grade 12*. University of Wisconsin System. https://wida.wisc.edu/resources/wida-english-language-development-standards-framework-2020-edition

Willis, D., & Willis, J. (2007). *Doing task-based teaching*. Oxford University Press. https://elt.oup.com/catalogue/items/global/teacher_development/9780194422109

Zwiers, J. (2014). *Building academic language: Meeting Common Core standards across disciplines* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass. https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Building+Academic+Language%3A+Meeting+Common+Core+Standards+Across+Disciplines%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9781118744857

Cite this article

APA: EFL Cafe. (2025, April 14). Integrating STEM Education into ESL Curriculum. EFLCafe.net. https://eflcafe.net/integrating-stem-education-into-esl-curriculum/
In-text citation: (EFL Cafe, 2025)

MLA: EFL Cafe "Integrating STEM Education into ESL Curriculum." EFLCafe.net, 14 Apr. 2025, https://eflcafe.net/integrating-stem-education-into-esl-curriculum/.

In-text citation: (EFL Cafe)